

MARKit: Market Monitoring for Program Decisions March 31, 2021



Speakers









KassoumMeganOuattaraMcGlinchyCRS HumanitarianCRS, SupplyMarkets, GlobalChain, Global

Emily Sloane IRC, Cash & Markets, Global

Yannick Benson IRC, East & West Africa Marie-Sophie Hou CRS, Nigeria

MARKit overview



MARKit

MARKET MONITORING, ANALYSIS AND RESPONSE KIT



WHAT IS IT?

 Project-level market monitoring framework that guides users to determine the causes of abnormal price changes and make program adjustments, as necessary.

OBJECTIVES:

- Establish a harmonized approach to market monitoring.
- Support evidence-based decision-making and project design.
- Ensure programs remain responsive to changing market conditions.
- Mitigate unintended impacts on market systems; "do no harm."
- Determine appropriate transfer values to meet identified needs.
- Promote learning and good practices in food assistance and other resource transfer programs

Some Lessons Learned



- Technically sound, overall useful
- Main challenges: too complicated, too long, too many markets
- No one reads the whole thing, very few people used the worksheets
- Secondary and historical data were rarely used
- Need to put more emphasis on proper set-up of the MARKit monitoring platform
- Need easy database for easier data entry and analysis
- MARKit is used for more than food programs

MARKit Overview (2020)



Step 6.1: Identify Abnormal Price Changes

Following each round of data collection, conduct the following analyses on the newly entered data.

- Analysis 1: In the database, highlight price change(s) that exceed a chosen % level
- Analysis 2: Compare the price change(s) against how prices should change in a given season
- Analysis 3: Compare the current monthly prices of a commodity to its monthly price during the last three to five years.
- Analysis 4: Compare monthly commodity prices in each intervention market with those in the regional source market.
- Analysis 5: (Vouchers only) Compare monthly prices between participating and non-participating vendors

Is further analysis needed?



Step 6.2: Characterize Price Changes

	One/few commodities	Many/all commodities
One/few markets	 Seasonality Local supply shocks Local demand shocks Trader capacity/actions Intervention 	 Seasonality Local supply shocks Local demand shocks Trader capacity/actions Intervention
Many/all markets	 Seasonality Widespread supply shocks Widespread demand shocks Global food prices Policies 	 Seasonality Inflation Exchange rates Fuel prices Widespread supply shocks Widespread demand shocks Policies

Step 7: Investigate the Causes

- 1. Seasonality
- 2. Supply shocks
- 3. Demand shocks
- 4. Trader capacity/actions
- 5. Intervention
- 6. Global food prices
- 7. Policies
- 8. Inflation
- 9. Currency exchange rates
- 10. Fuel prices

	One/few commodities	Many/all commodities
One/few markets	 Seasonality Local supply shocks Local demand shocks Trader capacity/actions Intervention 	 Seasonality Local supply shocks Local demand shocks Trader capacity/actions Intervention
Many/all markets	 Seasonality Widespread supply shocks Widespread demand shocks Global food prices Policies 	 Seasonality Inflation Exchange rates Fuel prices Widespread supply shocks Widespread demand shocks Policies



Supply shocks result from changes in production levels and/or disruption to the movement of good along the supply chain.

- Supply shocks can be a factor for any price changes observed.
- Supply shocks can be local, regional or national, and they can affect a particular commodity or many commodities.

	Analysis	Data Requirements
	 Refer to baseline market system map for possible interruptions 	Baseline market information
rice	 Conduct Key Informant interviews (see Worksheet 3 for guidance) with traders, and local partners/staff to understand disruptions to production and supply flows 	Local informants, secondary reports, news reports

• What is it?

- Why is it a factor?
- Analysis needed
- How to know this is influencing observed price changes

Step 9: Adjust as necessary

Adjustments to programs are determined by:

- The severity and duration of the price change
- The impact of the price change on beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries
- The risk that continuing the intervention (as is) will further exacerbate the price change
- What other organizations in the same area are doing or planning to do.

There are several levels of adjustments:

- No adjustments to the current program
- Minor adjustments to the program
- Drastic adjustments needed

Current modality	Scenario	Possible response	Implementation requirements	Desired outcome and expected results of continued monitoring
1. Intervention	1			
Your program' factors (trader 2. Seasonality	s intervention may be links capacity, for example). Th	d to, or exacerbate, certain scenarios it is is not an exhaustive list of the possibi	sted below (highlighted in orang e ways in which your interventio	e) as a result of other contributing n may affect prices.
Cash or vouchers	Price spikes linked to seasonal/ lean season food shortages are identified.	Switch from cash or vouchers to local or regional purchase or in-kind distribution. Increase the frequency of your cash or voucher distribution to spread the demand over time.	Donor flexibility, rapid access to prepositioned food supplies or food in less affected markets.	Distributing food purchased in unaffected areas of the country or region, or transocenic food assistance for the affected commodity should help bring prices back down to pre-shortage levels. Continue monitoring to know whether price levels have returned normal or near normal.
Local/ regional purchase or in-kind (transoceanic purchase) distribution	Prices of key staples have declined due to a bumper harvest, and food security outcomes have temporarity improved for many beneficiaries, including the most vulnerable.	Postpone the distribution Consider shifting to cash or vouchers	Donor flexibility and production/market understanding	The decision to postpone LRP/in-kir distribution should be made when inserting more food into a market could cause prices to drop further, thereby affecting agricultural producers. Continue monitoring prices to assess whether beneficiari are able to meet minimum consumption requirements and to assess I/when to resume distribution.



MARKit sample analysis





Step 6.2: Characterize Price Changes





Voices from the Field: Putting it into practice

Mainstreaming market monitoring at the IRC



Why we like MARKit

Aligned with IRC's goals for market analysis:

- Ensure that data collected is used
- Uses real-time data
- Incorporates non-price indicators potentially reduces the need for heavier market assessments



Institutionalizing MARKit





- Go-to reference for market monitoring guidance (Cash resource catalog)
- IRC's market monitoring app incorporates MARKit principles
- COVID-adapted market monitoring data collection form + guidance



Context Analysis: Central African Republic

Economic/ market situation

- Goods from Cameroon are blocked at the borders, creating a disruption in supplies and a rise in food prices on the markets.
- Increase in the prices of imported and local products, up to 100 percent, in the markets between late December 2020 and late January 2021.
- The products most affected are rice (100%), wheat flour (97%), and meat (64%).
- The cost of the food basket increased sharply due to higher prices for meat, oil, sugar, and rice in early January 2021 compared to November 2020



MARKit Opportunities

How much will MARKit help us?

- Get the market analysis and program response right in the design phase
- Track whether existing responses positively or negatively distort local markets
- Assess the continued appropriateness of the chosen delivery modality
- Determine whether the value and level of assistance remain adequate

Challenges

- Technical issues (electricity disruption; poor internet network)
- Challenge in supervising remotely the visit market place step
- Access to some important markets due to insecurity
- Finding an appropriate time to conduct training for staff

Voices from the Field: MARKit in Nigeria

Nigeria

Context

- . Limited humanitarian access
- . High inflation
- . Functioning market despite crisis

Market-based program

- . Food assistance in Borno State
- . E-voucher : 3 wallets
- . 90,000 people receiving monthly top ups . Two local partners

Market Monitoring

Objectives

- Joint monitoring
- Inform transfer value
- Food Security

Markets / Prices Monitoring

- Six markets
- Focus on SMEB items
- Weekly data collection
- Automated dashboard results
- Bi-weekly discussions of SMEB value
- Monthly reporting

Market Monitoring Post-COVID-19

Adaptative Measures

- Disabling biometric verification
- Increase the number of vendors
- Increase frequency of data collection from monthly to weekly monitoring
- Include COVID-19 impacts questions in the tools
- Analysis data per location
- FSS Task-Force to monitor SMEB value and adjust transfer value
- Increase the transfer value three times in one year

Results - Increase Transfer Value

SMEB trend

Results Which commodities drive the increase?

ItemsSold

Results

Market Monitoring Report

OCRS | Nigeria

Market Monitoring - January Report

Introduction

In January 2021, price monitoring was conducted in the field in Jere and Magumeri, LGA, with both CRS and non-CRS vendors. As humanitarian access was still restricted in Kaga and Gubio LGAs, the market team was not able to go to Gubio LGA markets. Market officers collected data when vendors came to the office to sign their invoices before payments or call non-CRS vendors to conduct the survey remotely. The frequency of data collection in Magumeri, LGA is lower because access to Magumeri, LGA resume for only one week in January 2021.

Location	Date	
Gubio	January 13th, 28th	
Magumeri	January 26th	
Jere	January 11th, 19th, 28th	
Kaga	January 14th, 21th, 28th	

The annual inflation rate increased for the 16th straight month to 15.75 percent in December 2020, the highest since November 2017. Due to the macroeconomic pressures, petrol prices are 14 percent higher than at the same time last year. Prices of most staple food remain atypically high in January, about 50 to 100 percent above average. This is not only driven by the poor macroeconomic but also the belowaverage 2020/21 harvest and market supply coupled with atypically high market demand.

Income opportunities across the northeast remain limited, give persisting, and continued high levels of conflict with sustained displacement. Despite the recent harvest, many households continue to be market dependent with lower than usual purchasing power. In December 2020, humanitarian actors provided food assistance to almost 40 million beneficiaries across BAY States. Many households continue to have limited access to food, and face crisis (IPC Phase 3), while those in difficult to access areas are expected to face emergency (IPC Phase 4). A Famine (IPC phase 5) risk persists in the even households are cut off from food and income sources, including humanitarian assistance for a prolonged perjed pt/jed_dt_imp.¹

Key Findings

This month, prices continue to be high even if the average decreases driven by <u>Gubio</u> prices. However, it does not mean prices are not high. Prices in all LGAs remains higher than the 5 years average.

In January 2021, the MEB variation (22.79%) in <u>Subjective</u> is above the 15% recommended by the FSS Task Force. However, the MEB is lower than in December (38%). As prices are decreasing, the prices will continue to be closely <u>monitor</u> to see if the MEB value decrease below the 15% or if an adjustment in the transfer value is needed.

The MEB in Magumeri, Jere and Kaga remains below the 15% recommended variation.

CRS | Nigeria

Table of Market Prices

Table 1: Cost of Food Basket per Commodity in average in **Gaps, MacApping**, lere and **Gupp**, LGAs, from June 2028 end of January 2021.

In January 2021, the average prices decrease due to important decrease in <u>Gubio</u> prices. It does not reflect general price decrease.

Explanation of Price Changes

LGA	January	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
🖂 Gubio	430	381	326	344			428	539	508
Beans	354	234	250	240			274	426	401
Groundnut	354	273	269	297			269	714	633
Groundnut oil or Vegetable Oil	907	613	667	675			1000		789
Maize	337		231	279			363	187	206
Millets	179	163	192	182			132	285	267
Onion	142	212	48	24			153		123
Palm oil	930	608	667	650			1000	900	880
Rice	446	462	423	492			483	919	834
Salt	138	158	48	102			121	105	109
Sugar	514	538	462	500			483	800	746
LGA	January	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
🖂 Gubio	-430	381	326	344) 		:428	539	508
🖂 Kaga	420	349	441	422	333			387	376
Beans	355	188	247	290	282			321	287
Groundnut	395	295	310	346	330			374	339
Groundnut oil or Vegetable Oil	909	764	1102	717	588			865	769
Maize	196	162	185	246	201			183	196
Millets	204	153	162	192	194			167	186
Onion	70	143	77	95	94			95	92
Palm oil	825	744	1097	912	570			846	756
Rice	470	419	449	485	465			412	457
Salt	111	38	40	35	100			47	79
Sugar	483	492	500	863	485			491	514

LGA	January	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
🗄 Gubio	43.0	381	326	344	(428	539	508
💮 Kaga	420	349	441	422	333			387	376
Magumeri	426	359	384	410			474	438	409
Maiduguri	430			322	362	405	386	416	415
Beans	404			187	189	302	257	315	354
Groundnut	435			402	373	318	344	375	404
Groundnut oil or Vegetable Oil	832			548	657	687	686	762	776
Maize	202			185	203	186	162	162	196
Millets	183			183	181	199	161	180	184
Onion	246			314	394	380	491	481	301
Palm oil	885			494	517	675	686	762	798
Rice	445			502	501	483	432	455	457
Salt	160			124	147	298	154	181	181
Sugar	500			351	459	517	502	489	493
LGA	January	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
💽 Gubio	430	381	326	344			428	539	508
[]] Kaga	420	349	441	422	333			387	376
🖯 Magumeri	426	359	384	410			474	438	409
Beans	460	230	267	332			405	380	338
Groundnut	477	345	308	370			441	431	385
Groundnut oil or Vegetable Oil	877	694	683	775			1045	849	790
Maize	214	161	173	204			192	180	195
Millets	202	154	173	184			172	218	184
Onion	115	192		154			571		168
Dates all	896	732	668	775			900	883	792
Paim oil									
Rice	438	524	447	559			500	439	517
Rice Salt	438 48	524 39	447 124	559 42			500 38	439 46	517 49

Table 2: Average price per kg in Gubio, Macuporp, Gaga and Jere LGAs

All prices remain particularly high. Gubio's prices decrease and are now closer to the rest of the LGA prices except for Maize which is more expensive in Gubio than any other LGAS). The price per kg explicit more the continuous high prices found in the market even if the average is a little lower than previous data collection. The decrease is driven by Gubio high decrease. However, Gubio prices were extremely high. In January they converge to others LGAs prices that are still higher than the five years average.

MEB Changes

The MEB is calculated per household (5) using the last FSS approved template. The average MEB variation compare to the baseline MEB is 7.68%. The variation still <u>respect</u> the 15% variation recommended by the FSS.

Table 4 shows a drop in MEB value in January 2021, as mentioned before it is driven by Gubio's decreases <u>prices</u> but it stays as high as previous months.

In Gubic LGA, the MEB varied by 22.79%. It is above the 15% recommended variation by the <u>FSS</u> but it is decreasing compare to the 38.31% in December. Prices will continue to be monitored to assess if prices continue to decrease before requesting adjustment of transfer value.

¹ https://fews.net/west-africa/nigeria

Discussion

Kassoum Ouattara <u>kassoum.ouattara@crs.org</u> Megan McGlinchy <u>megan.mcglinchy@crs.org</u> Emily Sloane <u>emily.sloane@rescue.org</u> Yannick Benson <u>bayihabenson.yannick@rescue.org</u> Marie-Sophie Hou <u>mariesophie.hou@crs.org</u>

