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AGENDA OF THIS WORKSHOP

• Welcome and Opening Remarks (USAID Ethiopia)
• Update on Seed Sector Development in Ethiopia (Director General Ato Girma Bekele)
• Introduction and Objectives of the Study and Today’s Workshop (Dr. Bhramar Dey)
• Regulatory System Maps (RSMs) Tool and Methodology (Prof. Katrin Kuhlmann)
• Approach to Stakeholder Consultations (Dr. Mulugetta Mekuria)
• Overview of Key Findings under RSMs (Prof. Katrin Kuhlmann)
• Matrix of Measurements (Dr. Bhramar Dey)
• Use Cases/Feedback on RSMs (Representatives from Public and Private Sectors)
• Conclusions and Next Steps (Dr. Bhramar Dey/Prof. Katrin Kuhlmann)
• Q&A (All)
OBJECTIVES OF THE ACTIVITY AND WORKSHOP

Objective of the Activity:

- Document the processes and procedures contained in the current seed legal and regulatory system
- Compare with the changes contemplated under the Draft Seed Proclamation to raise awareness of current and proposed legal and regulatory system and highlight important gaps and bottlenecks.
- Provide guidance to track progress/dynamics in the seed sector

Objectives of Today’s Workshop:

- Disseminate key findings from the Activity
- Hear from national stakeholders on use-case value of RSMs
- Collect feedback
WHAT ARE REGULATORY SYSTEMS MAPS?

• RSMs are visual tools that depict, step-by-step, the systems, processes, and procedures underlying a specific legal and regulatory domain.

• RSMs function as analytical instruments to highlight gaps, challenges (including with implementation), intervention points, proposed legal and regulatory changes, and systemic shifts over time (“Regulatory Gateways”, NML 2019); Regulatory Gateways also provide entry points for making law more inclusive, equitable, and flexible (Kuhlmann 2022 & Kuhlmann & Dey 2021).

• Thus, RSMs serve as one of the regulatory tools that could potentially raise awareness about policies, laws, and regulations that govern a regulatory domain and increase transparency of systems and processes amongst stakeholders.

• RSMs were developed by the New Markets Lab in 2016 and have been adapted under the current S34D Activity with CRS to encompass additional dimensions (such as gender, cost, and links to forms).
METHODOLOGY

1. Review of Current and Proposed Ethiopian Seed Laws and Regulations
   - Comprehensive assessment of the relevant laws and regulations set out in Table 2.
   - This helped identify the strengths and weaknesses of existing laws and regulations, highlighting possible areas of improvement using the RSMs.

2. Stakeholders’ Consultations
   - Virtual and in-person consultations with stakeholder (see Annex I) held in Addis Ababa.

3. Draft and Develop Side by Side RSMs
   - Stakeholders’ consultations and validation reinforced findings from the legal and regulatory assessment and highlighted issues that were not apparent from a review of the laws and regulations themselves.
   - Produced an initial set of six RSMs. Maps integrate bottlenecks and challenges stakeholders are facing along the six study dimensions.
   - Developed a second set of RSMs focused on the new proposed laws and regulations. This added a comparative element to the Activity and highlights changes or improvements to the system.

4. Validation Workshop
   - The RSMs were validated by stakeholders at a virtual session on November 10, 2021.
   - The validation confirmed information in the RSMs and highlighted additional aspects and priorities.
ENGAGEMENT & STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATIONS

• Consultation tools included questionnaires tailored to the different stakeholders and focused on the key dimensions.

• Private stakeholders consulted included seven local seed companies and dealers, two multinational seed companies, two nongovernmental organizations, one international development agency, and the Ethiopian Seed Association.

• Eleven public sector stakeholders were consulted from the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA), the Regional Bureaus of Agriculture (RBoA), the Ethiopia Seed Enterprises (national and regional), the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR), Regional Agricultural Research Institutes (RARI), and the Agricultural Transformation Agency (ATA).
OVERVIEW OF APPROACH

• **Six regulatory dimensions:** (1) Public varietal research, development, and transfer; (2) Seed dealer and venue registration (certificate of competence); (3) Seed variety registration and release; (4) Plant variety protection (PVP) or plant breeder’s rights (PBR); (5) Seed certification and quality assurance; and (6) Anti-counterfeiting and consumer protection.

• **Two comparative RSMs for each dimension:**
  • One set focused on the seed regulatory system as it currently exists and is implemented
  • A second set focused on depicting the new rules and procedures that are currently under development (twelve maps in total)

• **Inclusivity and flexibility focus across current and proposed dimensions**
  • Study focuses on aspects of seed laws and regulations that incorporate flexibility and inclusivity. For example, this includes provisions and processes that integrate small farmers, women, private sector enterprises of all sizes, and public research organizations. (See Kuhlmann 2022 & Kuhlmann & Dey 2021)
KEY ELEMENTS OF THE STUDY

• The study highlights four key “dynamic” elements (with inclusivity and flexibility a focus across):
  • Aspects/steps that are changing with the new Draft Seed Proclamation
  • Aspects/steps that changed with the new 2020 Seed Policy, but are not yet operational
  • Areas that require more detailed regulations, directives, guidelines to become operational
  • Areas written into law or regulation differs from stakeholder experience in practice
Regulatory Elements

- Scope of Seed Policy, Law, and Regulation
- Intellectual Property Rights & Plant Breeders’ Rights
- Variety Release and Registration
- Registration of Actors and Venues
- Seed Quality Assurance Mechanisms
- Seed Counterfeiting Measures

How they bridge the gap between the formal and informal sectors

- Farmers’ ability to access breeding material
- Farmers’ ability to select, breed, and register farmers’ varieties
- Farmers’ ability to sell varieties locally and commercialize them more broadly
- Farmers’ ability to establish farmer seed enterprises
- Farmers’ ability to acquire the seeds of their choice through trade, barter, or exchange
- Farmers’ ability to save, reuse, and exchange farm-saved seeds

Kukhlmann & Dey (Agronomy, 2021)
Dimension: Varietal Research, Development, and Transfer
VARIETAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND TRANSFER

• For varietal research, development, and transfer, and the RSMs cover the following regulatory aspects: (a) genetic material or variety acquisition, development and research; (b) production of breeder, pre-basic, and basic seed (early generation seed); (c) production of certified seed; and (d) Early Generation Seed (EGS) distribution.

• Among these, engaging in varietal development and EGS production and distribution of EGS are particularly notable.
RSM: Varietal Research, Development, and Transfer in Ethiopia (Current System)

**Key**
- Varietal Research and Development
- Production of EGS
- Production of Certified Seed
- Distribution
- Notes
- Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
- Stakeholder experience differs from law

1. Acquisition of germplasm from Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIR) Centers through Material Transfer Agreements.

2. Research and breeding of breeder, pre-basic, and basic (RARIs mostly) seed by public research institutions.

3. As per the 2013 Seed Proclamation, any producer holding a CoC can access breeder, pre-basic and basic seed (early generation seed, EGS) from registered varieties producing institutions.

4. Any person with a producer CoC with access to breeder and pre-basic seed can produce basic seed.

5. Authorized seed producers produce certified seed as per quality requirements set out under relevant laws and regulations.

6. Distribution of EGS to seed producers through various channels including Regional Bureau of Agriculture, cooperatives unions, and primary cooperatives selling public varieties to farmers, as well as private dealers.

Notes:
- Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
- Stakeholder experience differs from law

The Seed Policy encourages the introduction of a framework where domestic and foreign research entities holding a Certificate of Competence (CoC) can engage in variety development and maintenance and make germplasm accessible to public and domestic private breeders.

Under Ministerial Directive on Public Crop and Forage EGS Administration, institutions enter in contracts with Eiar and RARIs a year prior to accessing breeder, pre-basic, and basic seed at an agreed fee. In practice, these contracts are routinely breached.

2020 Seed Policy provides that the seed production (starting from EGS to certified seed) will be based on seed demand and an integrated production plan.
KEY FINDINGS: CURRENT SYSTEM

Activity identified several implementation challenges in the legal framework for Varietal Research, Development, and Transfer in Ethiopia, as highlighted in the RSMs:

• **Private seed companies do not engage directly in breeding**, mainly because of challenges in accessing land and the lengthy processes in practice for obtaining an import permit for parent material (see blue shaded box attached to Step 4 in the RSM on the Current System).

• **Unavailability of EGS** is also an issue (see blue shaded box linked with Step 3 in both RSMs showing that practice does not match law). Reasons include (i) Public Research Institutions (PRIs) lack capacity and resources; (ii) Priority in EGS distribution given to Ethiopian Seed Enterprise (ESE) and farmer groups over private companies; and (iii) RBoAs interfere with setting price of seed.

• **Absence of Legal Framework on Certificate of Competence for Varietal Research and Development**.

• **Absence of Flexibilities on Certificate of Competence Requirement for Small Seed Producers**. Stringent producer CoC requirements effectively keep smallholder farmers operating within the informal sector.
KEY FINDINGS: PROPOSED SYSTEM

• Two important changes are proposed to increase the engagement of the private sector in varietal research, development and transfer:
  • A CoC on Variety Development & Research (Seed Policy 2020); however, no such CoC is provided in Draft Seed Proclamation
  • A CoC on pre-basic seed multiplication (Draft Seed Proclamation)

• Proposed institutional licensing framework to address EGS bottlenecks:
  • Framework awaiting ministerial approval that will allow for licensing of public varieties and sharing of genetic material.
  • Licensing is linked with other regulatory dimensions, such as PBR (PBR Proclamation and Directive), which enhances the legal effectiveness of licensing frameworks. However, regulations under the PBR Proclamation are not yet in place, which makes the PBR regulatory framework incomplete. Private sector stakeholders seem to be unaware that the PBR Directive had been passed.
RSM: Varietal Research, Development, and Transfer in Ethiopia (Proposed System)

Key
- Varietal Research and Development
- Production of EGS
- Production of Certified Seed
- Distribution
- Notes
- Change proposed in new Draft Seed Proclamation
- Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
- Stakeholder experience differs from law

The proposed Draft Seed Proclamation establishes a separate CoC for pre-basic seed production. Through licensing, EIAR is planning to share breeder seed with RARIs, Ethiopian Seed Enterprise and private seed companies to produce pre-basic seed.

Entities authorized to produce EGS:
1. Ethiopian Seed Enterprise;
2. Regional Seed Enterprises;
3. Seed companies.

Constraints in EGS Production (Secondary Literature):
1. Lack of funding;
2. Inadequate access to land to meet CoC requirements; and
3. Supply of source material.

A seed producer may enter into a contractual arrangement with a land holder to produce seed on the latter’s plot. This can resolve land access issues.

The Seed Policy introduces a framework that encourages domestic and foreign research entities holding a Certificate of Competence (CoC) to engage in variety development and maintenance and make germplasm accessible to public and domestic private breeders. EIAR has planned to do this through licensing and an institutional guideline on licensing is awaiting approval.

Under Ministerial Directive on Public Crop and Forage EGS Administration, institutions enter in contracts with EIAR and RARIs a year prior to access breeder, pre-basic, and basic seed at an agreed fee. In practice, these contracts are routinely breached.

2020 Seed Policy provides that the seed production (starting from EGS to certified) will be based on effective demand and an integrated production plan.

Changes proposed in new Draft Seed Proclamation
Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
Stakeholder experience differs from law

1. Acquisition of germplasm from Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) Centers through Material Transfer Agreements.
2. Research and breeding of breeder/pre-basic seed by public research institutions.
3. As per the 2013 Seed Proclamation, any producer holding a CoC can access breeder, pre-basic, and basic seeds (early generation seed, EGS) from registered varieties producing institutions.
4. Any person with a producer CoC with access to breeder and pre-basic seed can produce basic seed.
5. Authorized seed producers produce certified seed as per quality requirements set out under relevant laws and regulations.
6. Distribution to seed producers through various channels including Regional Bureaus of Agriculture, cooperatives unions, and primary cooperatives selling public varieties to farmers, as well as private dealers.
Dimension: Seed Variety Registration and Release Process
The RSMs cover the following regulatory aspects: (a) application for variety release and registration; (b) testing of new varieties; (c) evaluation by regulatory authorities/committees; and (d) regulatory determination and post determination actions.

In particular, the testing and evaluation stages contain important gaps and ambiguities.
RSM: Seed Variety Registration and Release Process in Ethiopia (Current System)

Key:
- Application
- Testing
- Evaluation
- Regulatory determination
- Post Determination
- Notes
- Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
- Stakeholder experience differs from law
- Areas require further detailed regulations, directives, or guidelines

Application:
- To release a variety, an application must be submitted to the Plant Variety Release, Protection and Seed Quality Control Directorate of the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) for registration and release.

Testing:
- As per 2013 Seed Proclamation, the MoA conducts distinctness, uniformity, and stability (DUS) trials and national performance trials (NPT) on the variety. However, as per stakeholder consultations, only NPT is actually conducted and testing is carried out by public research institutions.

Evaluation:
- The NPTC currently does not ensure representation of women or the private sector in the variety release process.

Post Determination:
- MoA sends the test reports to the National Performance Trial Evaluation Technical Committee (NPTC) for evaluation.

Notes:
- Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
- Stakeholder experience differs from law
- Areas require further detailed regulations, directives, or guidelines

Application by formal letter:
- DUS: Birr 100
- NPT: Birr 100

Cost of Tests (Official Source):
- DUS: Birr 4000
- NPT: Birr 11000

NVRC Composition (Official Source):
- 4 breeders, an agronomist or physiologist, an entomologist, a pathologist, an economist, a person in research and extension, and other people as may be required.
- The NVRC members come from the MoA, the National Seed Industry Agency, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, the Institute for Biological Conservation and Research, the Coffee and Tea Authority, Awassa Agricultural College, Ethiopian Seed Enterprise, the Science and Technology Commission, the Quality and Standards Authority and any other relevant institution.

National Variety Register shall be maintained by the MoA. An updated register cannot be found online, but one is available in hard copy at the MoA.
KEY FINDINGS: CURRENT SYSTEM

• Despite a requirement that MoA conduct both DUS and NPT testing, only NPT testing is reportedly done in practice (see blue shaded box attached to Step 2 in the RSM on the Current System).
  - NPT testing is conducted by public research institutions and not MoA as required.
  - Fees charged for variety evaluation are contrary to those in the Service Fee Regulation (and much higher) (see blue comment box off step 2 in the RSM on Current System).

• DUS protocols are absent, which is one reason that DUS testing is not conducted (this difference in stakeholder experience is noted by the shaded box, Step 2 in the RSM on the Current System).

• Women and farmers are not well represented on the National Variety Release Committee (NVRC), even though the 2020 Seed Policy calls for greater gender representation (see green comment box off step 5 in the RSM on the proposed System).
KEY FINDINGS : PROPOSED SYSTEM

• Draft Seed Proclamation calls for the creation of an independent entity responsible for variety registration and release (see yellow comment box attached to Step 2 in the RSM)

• System could be made more inclusive by expanding women’s and farmers’ representation on the NVRC (2020 Seed Policy) - by revising the Draft Seed Proclamation and/or Variety Release Policy and Mechanism Manual, 2001

• Simplify and streamline the process for variety release and registration:
  • Approval of DUS protocols for wheat and barley and development of DUS Protocols for other crops;
  • Revision of the Service Fee Regulation (include appropriate fees involved in the variety registration process, including DUS and VCU testing); and
  • Revision of the Draft Seed Proclamation to align with regional seed rules (COMESA Seed Trade Harmonisation Regulations) by defining “prioritized development goals”
RSM: Seed Variety Registration and Release Process in Ethiopia (Proposed System)

Key:
- Application
- Testing
- Evaluation
- Regulatory determination
- Post Determination
- Notes
- Change proposed in new Draft Seed Proclamation
- Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
- Stakeholder experience differs from law
- Areas require further detailed regulations, directives, or guidelines

1. To release a variety, an application must be submitted to the Plant Variety Release, Protection and Seed Quality Control Directorate of the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) for variety registration and release.
2. As per 2013 Seed Proclamation, the MoA conducts distinctness, uniformity, and stability (DUS) trials and national performance trials (NPT) on the variety. However, consultations reported that only NPT is actually conducted and testing is carried out by public research institutions.
3. MoA sends the test reports to the National Performance Trial Evaluation Technical Committee (NPTC) for evaluation.
4. The NPTC evaluates the variety against specific criteria for DUS/NPT.
5. NPTC submits a report to the National Variety Release Committee (NVRC).
6. Based on the NPTC report, NVRC will make recommendations to the MoA to:
   a) Fully release the variety;
   b) Provisionally release the variety;
   c) Further test the variety; or
   d) Reject the variety.
7. If approved, variety is released and MoA shall enter it in the National Variety Register.
8. MoA may cancel the registration of the variety if the variety:
   a) Loses, changes or degrades characteristics;
   b) Poses risk to human or animal health or the environment;
   c) Is indistinguishable from a variety registered under a different name; or
   d) Is released or registered on false or misleading information.

Imported varieties may be exempt from registration if imported solely to be multiplied/produced for re-export.

The proposed Draft Seed Proclamation states that the MoA may exempt certain varieties from NPT based on its development goals and testing capacity after assessing:
   a) NPT results from country of origin of the variety or from equally acceptable third country;
   b) Adequate adaptation trial reports; and
   c) Variety fulfills biodiversity and quarantine requirements.

The NPTC currently does not ensure the representation of women or the private sector in the variety release process.

The NVRC is scheduled to meet twice a year. However, stakeholder consultations reported that this schedule is not usually followed.

The Seed Policy states that the participation of women in the variety registration and release process (including in NVRC) shall be ensured.

If rejected, provisionally released or further testing ordered, the variety is not permitted to be produced for seed and marketed.

Application aggrieved/unsatisfied with decision may appeal to the MoA by formal letter within 30 days of decision.

Cost of Tests (Official Source):
   a) DUS: Birr 4000
   b) NPT: Birr 11000
As per stakeholder consultations, actual costs are much higher than the abovementioned costs.

Conducted tests:
   a) DUS: 3 sites 2 seasons
   b) NPT: 3 sites 2 seasons or 6 sites 1 season

NVRC Composition (Official Source): 4 breeders, an agronomist or physiologist, an entomologist, a pathologist, an economist, a person in research and extension, and other people as may be required.

The NVRC members come from the MoA, the National Seed Industry Agency, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, the Institute for Biological Conservation and Research, the Coffee and Tea Authority, Awasa Agricultural College, Ethiopian Seed Enterprise, the Science and Technology Commission, the Quality and Standard Authority and any other relevant institutions.

MoA shall publish the list of varieties under consideration on its website or through the media.

National Variety Register shall be maintained by the MoA. An updated register cannot be found online, but one is available in hard copy at the MoA.

Proclamation, the MoA shall automatically register a variety if it is listed in a variety catalogue established in accordance with an international agreement ratified by the country.

Change proposed in new Draft Seed Proclamation
Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
Stakeholder experience differs from law
Areas require further detailed regulations, directives, or guidelines
Dimension: Quality Assurance Process
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS

• The RSMs cover the following regulatory aspects: (a) seed quality assurance schemes; (b) application and evaluation; (c) field inspection and analysis; (d) seed processing; (e) seed sampling; (f) laboratory testing; (g) issuance of certificate of quality; and (h) rejection and appeal.

• Among these, seed quality assurance schemes, field inspection, and laboratory testing are particularly important and contain some notable gaps and ambiguities as highlighted in the RSMs.
RSM: Seed Quality Assurance Process in Ethiopia (Current System)

Key:
- Seed Quality Assurance Schemes
- Application and Evaluation
- Field Inspection and analysis
- Seed Processing
- Seed Sampling
- Laboratory testing
- Issuance of Certificate of Quality
- Rejections and Appeals
- Notes
- Stakeholder experience differs from law

Compulsory Seed Certification

- Application is submitted a month prior to sowing by a registered seed producer, supported with:
  - A certificate of competence (CoC);
  - Proof of variety registration;
  - Proof that the variety is from a known source; and
  - Proof of payment of inspection fees (Birr 30 per hectare for hybrids, and Birr 20 per hectare for non-hybrid varieties).

Field Inspections are conducted at least three times for hybrids, and at least twice per season for non-hybrids:
- Crop purity;
- Rotation;
- Isolation distance;
- Maximum percentage of other varieties or off-types;
- Maximum percentage of seed-borne diseases;
- Maximum percentage of objectionable weed plants; and
- Minimum number of inspections required.

The field standard is rejected and application for certificate of quality dismissed.

Seed producer lodges an appeal for re-inspection to the RBoA within five working days and pays for re-inspection.

If the standard of the field is approved after re-inspection, the inspection fee paid for re-inspection is refunded to the producer.

Laboratory testing results are provided to the applicant 7 to 21 days after testing. The laboratory test result certificate costs Birr 100. There are 13 laboratories.

If seed is found not to meet laboratory testing standards, a certificate of quality is rejected, and the seed processor can appeal to the Ministry within 30 days.

Approved Seed

1. Submit an application to the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) or RBoA for a certificate of seed quality/approved seed.

2. The RBoA or the MoA evaluates the application and supporting documentation.

3. RBoA or the MoA seed inspectors undertake field inspection visits in accordance with the Ethiopia Seed Standards, aligned with International Seed Testing Association standards (ISTA).

4. RBoA or MoA seed inspector releases the field analysis results.

5. A registered seed producer with a CoC processes the seed in accordance with maximum size and weight requirements under ISTA.

6. Samplers authorized by the MoA or the RBoA collect a seed sample in accordance with ISTA rules.

7. The seed sample is tested in an accredited public laboratory in accordance with ISTA standards, subject to payment of prescribed fees. Secondary literature reported that none of the laboratories in Ethiopia is accredited to ISTA.

8. RBoA or the MoA issue the applicant a certificate of seed quality/approved seed QDS.

Quality Declared Seed (QDS)

Application to be accompanied by:
- Filled application form;
- Receipt of payment of inspection fees; and
- Seed from one official tag taken from each lot of planted seed.

For QDS, the seed producer undertakes the following during internal seed quality control:
- Verify that field standards are maintained;
- Maintain information relating to the source of seed; and
- Sample, process, analyze and package seed in accordance with standards; and
- Affix tag and seal container.

Each seed lot is assigned a unique identifying lot number following an internationally recognized code scheme, identifying the federal or regional authority and year of production.

The seed producer should pay a sample collection fee of Birr 100.

Seed is tested for purity (Birr 20/sample), germination (Birr 40/sample), moisture (Birr 25/sample), health (Birr 182/sample), tetrazolium (Birr 47/sample), and other relevant tests.
KEY FINDINGS: CURRENT SYSTEM

• System currently carried out by public institutions, without private sector involvement. This causes delays for private seed companies and further postpones getting seed to farmers. This is an important area of focus for the Draft Seed Proclamation.

• Both public and private sector stakeholders reported laboratory capacity gaps in terms of finance, human resource, and facilities (see Step 7 in the RSM on the Current System, which is shaded due to the difference in stakeholder experience).

• None of the laboratories has ISTA accreditation, which is called for under international seed testing standards and regional practices, and most laboratories at the regional level lack facilities to test additional seed quality parameters based on new protocols that address tests related to seed health, variety quality, and vigor, among other things.
KEY FINDINGS: PROPOSED SYSTEM

- Creation of independent certifying authorities at the federal and national levels (this is depicted in Step 2 in the RSM on the Proposed System; these already exist in some regions)
- Authorization of private seed inspectors by the relevant federal and regional institutions to conduct field inspections (this is depicted in the yellow comment box attached to Step 3 in the RSM on the Proposed System)
- Authorization of private seed laboratories that meet international ISTA standards to conduct laboratory tests (this is depicted in Step 7 in the RSM on the Proposed System)
- Introduction of self-quality seed certification, with oversight by the relevant federal and regional institutions (details of this approach forthcoming in a Ministerial Directive)
RSM: Seed Quality Assurance Process in Ethiopia (Proposed System)

Application for Riba or cooperative Seed Quality

Field inspections are conducted at least three times for hybrids, and at least twice for non-hybrids:
- a) Crop purity
- b) Rotation
- c) Isolation distance
- d) Maximum percentage of other varieties or off-types
- e) Maximum percentage of seed-borne diseases
- f) Maximum percentage of objectionable weed plants
- g) Minimum number of inspections required

Key
- Application
- Testing
- Evaluation
- Regulatory determination
- Post Determination
- Notes

Change proposed in new Draft Seed Proclamation
Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
Stakeholder experience differs from law
Areas require further detailed regulations, directives, or guidelines

Notes:
- Change proposed in new Draft Seed Proclamation
- Changes in 2020 Seed Policy that require further legal measures
- Stakeholder experience differs from law
- Areas require further detailed regulations, directives, or guidelines

Authorized Private or Cooperative Seed Quality

1. Submit an application to the respective Regional Bureaus of Agriculture (RbaA) for a QDS certificate.

2. The RbaA or the MoA evaluates the application and supporting documentation.

3. The RbaA, MoA, or authorized private seed inspectors undertake seed field inspection visits in accordance with the Ethiopia Seed Standards, aligned with International Seed Testing Association standards (ISTA).

4. The authorized RbaA, MoA, or private seed inspector releases the field inspection results.

5. A registered seed producer with a CcC processes the seed in accordance with maximum size and weight requirements under ISTA.

6. Samplers authorized by the MoA or the RbaA collect a seed sample in accordance with ISTA rules.

7. The seed sample is tested in an authorized/ accredited private or public laboratory in accordance with ISTA standards, subject to payment of prescribed fees.

8. RbaA or the MoA issue the applicant a certificate of seed quality-QDS.

Compulsory Seed Certification

Application is submitted a month prior to sowing by a registered seed producer, supported with:
- a) A certificate of competence (CcC)
- b) Proof of variety registration
- c) Proof that the variety is from a known source
- d) Proof of payment of inspection fees (Birr 30 per hectare for hybrids, and Birr 20 per hectare for non-hybrid varieties)

Self-quality Assurance Certification

Procedural details to be described by Ministerial Directive(s) to be developed

Quality Declared Seed (QDS) Certification

Application to be accompanied by:
- a) Filled application form
- b) Payment of inspection fees
- c) Seed from one official tag taken from each lot of planted seed

The seed producer undertakes the following during internal seed quality control:
- a) Verify that field standards are maintained
- b) Maintain information relating to the source of seed
- c) Sample, process, analyze and package seed in accordance with standards
- d) Affix tag and seal container

Procedures on authorization of private seed inspectors will be prescribed by Ministerial Directive.

Each seed lot is assigned a unique identifying lot number following an internationally recognized code scheme, identifying the federal or regional authority and year of production.

The seed producer should pay a sample collection fee of Birr 100.

Seed is tested for purity (Birr 20/sample), germination (Birr 40/sample), moisture (Birr 25/sample), health (Birr 182/sample), tetrazolium (Birr 47/sample), and other relevant tests.
KEY TAKEAWAYS: FOCUS ON INCLUSIVITY AND FLEXIBILITY

• We reviewed roles of farmers, women, private sector, and research organizations to assess and recommend ways in which the rules do – and could – incorporate flexibility and inclusivity.

• The 2020 Seed Policy calls for greater gender representation in the NVRC, which is notable, but this is not yet operational under the law. Small farmers and farmers’ associations should also be represented.

• Small farmers may have difficulty meeting CoC requirements - e.g., prior experience and education. Some countries have adopted more flexible approaches to recognize informal actors (Kuhlmann and Dey, 2021).
  • India’s system is particularly noteworthy and maintains a separate registration system for small farmers and informal actors.
  • Peru exempts those engaged in the production, trade, and storage of traditional varieties from registration.
Ethiopia’s rules on PBR allow for registration of farmers’ varieties, but the rules confuse DUS standards with standards for Quality Declared Seed, making the flexibility inoperable.

- Ethiopia could consider something like an “identifiability test” (adopted in Malaysia) which modifies the DUS test, substituting “identifiability” for uniformity and stability and better tailoring the test to farmers’ varieties (Kuhlmann and Dey, 2021).
- Use of an identifiability test could also make it easier for small farmers to register their varieties. Other countries have adopted approaches such as preferential schemes for traditional varieties or alternative seed catalogues (Kuhlmann and Dey, 2021).
- Some countries maintain more flexible approaches to PBR (e.g., India, Peru, Thailand and Vietnam) and variety registration (e.g., Peru, Brazil, and Benin).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Proposed indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Varietal research</strong></td>
<td>Include a CoC on variety development and research to enable private sector engagement</td>
<td># of CoC issues to private sector per year; % share of applications renewed each year; #of private entities commercializing production of EGS; Total amount (crop and variety disaggregated) in MT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>dev and transfer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seed dealer registration</strong></td>
<td>Seed producers contracting land holders</td>
<td># of contractual arrangements made (per year – age and gender disaggregated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variety registration and release</strong></td>
<td>Define “prioritized development goals”</td>
<td>Goals defined and shared with stakeholders for validation; # of stakeholders shared with; Digitized national variety register available on public domain and accessible free of cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To qualify for NPT exemption, an applicant seeking registration applies for an NPT waiver</td>
<td># of applicants applying for and receiving the NPT waivers (gender, and age disaggregated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NVRC composition needs to be more inclusive and diverse</td>
<td>% of members that are women; youth; farmers; private sector entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td># of times the NVRC convenes per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PBR</strong></td>
<td>Share PBR directive widely</td>
<td># of stakeholders participated in the sensitization of the directive (age and sex disaggregated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seed quality assurance</strong></td>
<td>Seed certification is delayed</td>
<td>Amount of time (in # of days) taken for seed certification (disaggregated by types of applicants)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anti-counterfeiting</strong></td>
<td>Some regional authorities have less capacity than others.</td>
<td># of court cases filed; Guidelines established and shared in the public domain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• How can the RSMs play a role in increasing awareness of the legal and regulatory system?
• How could the RSMs help facilitate engagement in legal and regulatory processes central to development of the seed system?
• Do you think digitization of the maps can raise awareness amongst all stakeholders and increase transparency?
• Other comments?
PRIORITIZATION OF GOALS

• **Short Term – Focus on “Gateway” Changes via Instruments in Draft (Including Seed Proclamation) or Directives:**
  
  - Establish CoC on Variety Development and Research to enable the private sector to more fully engage in EGS and align with the 2020 Seed Policy
  - Support licensing of public varieties by PRIs and move forward with Ministerial Directive on licensing of public varieties and corresponding guidelines to improve EGS
  - Clarify important issues related to DUS testing (DUS protocols and delink from QDS)
  - Formally include women in NVRC and incorporate flexible requirements for smallholder farmers to obtain a CoC to ensure their inclusion in the formal sector

• **Medium Term – Modify Other Legal/Regulatory Instruments:**
  
  - Simplify process for variety registration and release and PBR, with focus on inclusivity, through changes to related legal instruments

• **Long Term – Align with Regional Developments Underway:**
  
  - Revision of 2016 Seed Regulations to align with COMESA seed labels and seed classes
CONCLUDING REMARKS

• **Financial and operational planning and accountability** to execute many of the changes proposed in the new seed rules. For example, a new institutional structure has been proposed (Agricultural Inputs Regulatory Formation Authority) that will require dedicated resources and budgetary allocation, as will institutions like the NVRC. An M&E framework will also be important.

• **Flexibility and inclusivity in the rules** will be important for addressing the needs of small farmers, the private sector, and women and youth to make it a more pluralistic and inclusive seed sector.

• Legal and regulatory change can be an ambitious and costly undertaking, and it will be important to **prioritize short-, medium-, and long-term goals**.

• **Good practices from other countries** (like those highlighted in legal and regulatory takeaways), provide important options and would enhance Ethiopia’s existing good practices.

• Given the different initiatives focused on Ethiopia’s seed systems, **sectoral coordination and co-location** critical to raising awareness, engaging stakeholders, and building capacity as rules, institutions, and system overall evolve.
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